

PRICKETT, JONES & ELLIOTT

A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION

1310 KING STREET, BOX 1328

WILMINGTON, DELAWARE 19899

TEL: (302) 888-6500

FAX: (302) 658-8111

<http://www.prickett.com>

Writer's Direct Dial:
(302)888-6509
Writer's Telecopy Number:
(302)888-6333
Writer's E-Mail Address:
JLHolzman@prickett.com

Dover Office:
11 NORTH STATE STREET
DOVER, DELAWARE 19901
TEL: (302) 674-3841
FAX: (302) 674-5864

September 22, 2006

VIA HAND DELIVERY AND E-MAIL

The Honorable Vincent J. Poppiti
Blank Rome LLP
Chase Manhattan Centre
1201 Market Street, Suite 800
Wilmington, DE 19801

**Re: Paul et al. v. Intel, Consolidated Civil Action No. 05-485-JJF;
Advanced MicroDevices, Inc. v. Intel et al., Civil Action No. 05-441-JJF;
In re Intel Corp., C.A. No. 05-1717 -JJF**

Dear Special Master Poppiti:

Class Plaintiffs write this letter brief in further support of Your Honor's current allocation of monthly Special Master fees and expenses incurred in the above-referenced actions and in response to Richard Horowitz's September 15 letter submitted on behalf of Intel.


Intel proposes a Special Master fee allocation scheme in which Class Plaintiffs would pay one-third of the total Special Master fees incurred in both the consolidated class action and the AMD action. Adopting this proposal would amount to a requirement that Class Plaintiffs subsidize a portion of Intel's litigation costs incurred in a case to which no Class Plaintiff is a party. Intel seeks to shift a portion of the burden of defending against two different cases onto Class Plaintiffs. Such a result would be inequitable and would contravene the language of this Court's orders separately appointing Your Honor as special master in the two cases. See Special Master Order ¶10 (Special Master fees are to be "shared equally by the parties").

In support of its argument, Intel references the Special Master fee allocation schemes in two cases – *CEA* and *LG v. Viewsonic and Tatung*. Each, however, is a single action. Here, of course, there are two separate actions brought by two unrelated groups of plaintiffs -- end-users of x86 microprocessors and Intel's competitor in the x86 microprocessor market. These different actions will be tried separately, at different times, in different courts, and will seek different types of damages.

The Honorable Vincent J. Poppiti
September 22, 2006
Page 2

For these reasons, Your Honor's current fee allocation in which Intel, the common defendant, pays fifty percent of the Special Master fees in Consolidated Civil Action No. 05-485-JJF and fifty percent of the fees in C.A. No. 05-441, is proper and equitable.

Respectfully submitted,



JAMES L. HOLZMAN

JLH/sam

cc: Frederick L. Cottrell, III, Esquire (By electronic mail)
Richard L. Horwitz, Esquire (By electronic mail)